2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 WILLIAM EDDINS, Case No. 2:23-cv-01501-JAD-NJK 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 9 v. 10 SPRING OAKS SHOPPING CENTER, LLC, 11 Defendant(s). 12 Pending before the Court is an order to show cause why Plaintiff William Eddins and his 13 attorney, David J. Martin, should not be sanctioned for failing to comply with the Court's order 14 to file dismissal papers by June 14, 2024. Docket No. 11. The gist of the response is that counsel 15 is a solo practitioner, that counsel had competing obligations, and that counsel needed more time to file dismissal papers. Docket No. 12. The overriding problem with these excuses is that counsel 16 17 could have, but did not, seek an extension of the ordered deadline. "If a deadline cannot be met, 18 the proper course is most assuredly not to simply ignore an order." U.S. Bank N.A. v. SFR Invs. 19 Pool 1, LLC, 2018 WL 701816, at *4 (D. Nev. Feb. 2, 2018). 20 While the Court will not impose monetary sanctions in the circumstances presented, both 21 Plaintiff William Eddins and attorney David J. Martin are CAUTIONED that all deadlines and 22 Court orders must be followed moving forward. Failure to do so may result in the imposition of 23 monetary and other significant sanctions, up to and including case-dispositive sanctions. In all other respects, the order to show cause is **DISCHARGED**. 24 25 Dismissal papers must be filed by July 2, 2024. 26 IT IS SO ORDERED. 27 Dated: June 28, 2024 Nancy J. Koppe 28 United States Magistrate Judge